



01/08/02

January 8, 2002

J1046 U.S. PTO
10/038722
01/08/02

Hon. Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box Patent Application
Washington, D.C. 20231

Re: New Nonprovisional Continuation
Patent Application under 37 CFR §1.53(b) in U.S.
Applicant(s): LEY, Arthur Charles et al.
Title: ITI-D1 KUNITZ DOMAIN MUTANTS AS HNE INHIBITORS
Atty's Docket: LEY=1B

Sir:

1. The present nonprovisional application is a Continuation
[] Divisional Continuation-in-part of prior application
No. 08/849,406. **This application is not a CPA.** The prior
application is not being abandoned in favor of this
application.
2. Attached herewith is the above-identified nonprovisional application
under 37 CFR §1.53(b) for Letters Patent including:
 - Specification (136 pages), claims (4 pages) and abstract (1
page), sequence listing (53 pages). There are no drawings in
this case.
 - Declaration and Power of Attorney (4 pages)
[] Newly executed Copy from prior application no.
08/849,406
 - Preliminary Amendment
 - Supplemental Preliminary Amendment
 - Information Disclosure Statement
 - PTO-1449 or PTO-892 forms from prior application(s)
 - A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37
CFR §1.9 and 37 CFR §1.27
 - A verified statement claiming small entity status was filed on
_____ in prior application no. _____. Status is still
proper and desired.
 - Application Data Sheet. This corrects the domestic priority of
the declaration to conform to that set forth on page 1 of the
application.

If no declaration is attached, or the basic filing fee is not paid,
accord this application a filing date, and notify counsel pursuant to 37 CFR
§1.53(f).

Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, authorizing payment in the amount of \$1,704.00 is attached.

The filing fee calculated as follows:

CLAIMS AS FILED					
FOR	No. FILED	Base Number	No. EXTRA	RATE	BASIC FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	58	-20	38	x 18 =	\$ 684.00
INDEPENDENT	1	-3	0	x 84 =	\$
[X] First presentation of multiply dependent claim			280	x 280 =	\$ 280.00
Reduction by 1/2 for filing by small entity					-\$
				TOTAL FILING FEE	\$1,704.00

Total claims were calculated as follows: 34 singly dependent claims, and two 12-way dependent claims (34+12+12=58).

Return Receipt Postcard (in duplicate)

3. The following inventor(s) were named in the prior application:

Full Name of Inventor 1 LEY, Arthur Charles	
Residence (City/State or City/Country) Newton, Massachusetts	Citizen Of: United States
Post Office Address 122 Adena Road, Newton, Massachusetts 02165	
Full Name of Inventor 2 GUTERMAN, Sonia Kosow	
Residence (City/State or City/Country) Belmont, Massachusetts	Citizen Of: United States
Post Office Address 20 Oakley Road, Belmont, Massachusetts 02178	
Full Name of Inventor 3 MARKLAND, William	
Residence (City/State or City/Country) Milford, Massachusetts	Citizen Of: United States
Post Office Address 26 Windsor Road, Milford, Massachusetts 01757	
Full Name of Inventor 4 KENT, Rachel Baribault	
Residence (City/State or City/Country) Boxborough, Massachusetts	Citizen Of: United States
Post Office Address 60 Stonehedge Place, Boxborough, Massachusetts 01719	

Full Name of Inventor 5 ROBERTS, Bruce Lindsay	
Residence (City/State or City/Country) Milford, Massachusetts	Citizen Of: United States
Post Office Address 26 Windsor Road, Milford, Massachusetts 01757	
Full Name of Inventor 6 LADNER, Robert Charles	
Residence (City/State or City/Country) Ijamsville, Maryland	Citizen Of: United States
Post Office Address 3827 Green Valley Road, Ijamsville, Maryland 21754	

(The latest inventor signed §1.63 Declaration in the parent application or its predecessors is hereby incorporated by reference. In the event of discrepancy between the Declaration and the list above, the Declaration controls and the list above is amended accordingly.)

The inventors of the present application are the same as those on the prior application.

Please delete the following inventors who were named on the prior application, but are not inventors of the invention being claimed in the present application: _____

The following additional inventors are to be named on the instant application: _____

4. The benefit under 35 USC §119 is claimed of the filing date of: Application No. _____ in _____ on _____. A certified copy of said priority document is attached was filed in progenitor case ' _____ on _____.

5. Amend the specification by inserting, immediately after the title, the sentence: _____

6. Certain documents were previously cited or submitted to the

Patent and Trademark Office in the following prior application _____, which is relied upon under 35 USC §120. Applicants identify these documents by attaching hereto one or more form PTO-1449 and/or form PTO-892 listing these documents, and request that they be considered and made of record in accordance with 37 CFR §1.98(d). Per 37 CFR §1.98(d), copies of these documents need not be filed in this application.

While an IDS filed under §1.97 must contain a "list of all patents, publications or other information submitted for consideration by the Office", see §1.98(a)(1), the only requirement for the list is that it provide the information set forth in §1.98(b). There is no requirement that a form PTO-1449 be used (MPEP §609 merely says that use of this form is "encouraged") and no prohibition on submitting a copy of a form PTO-1449 or form PTO-892 from a prior case. Indeed, the re-use of such forms is desirable as it avoids error in transferring the information, and evidences that the reference was considered in a prior application. A previously accepted PTO-1449, or an examiner-prepared PTO892, necessarily complies with §1.98(b).

7. If reliance is made on a declaration filed in a prior application, then

[X] The undersigned attorney of record hereby revokes the powers of attorney of:
XX NICK S. BROMER, Reg. No. 33,478 JOHN E. TARCZA, Reg. No. 33,638

[X] The undersigned attorney of record hereby appoints associate power of attorney, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office in connection therewith to:
XX JAY M. FINKELSTEIN, Reg. No. 21,082


8. [X] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 02-4035:
[X] Any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR §1.16.
[X] Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17.
[X] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees, based on any paper filed during the pendency of this application or any CPA thereof, to effect any amendment, petition, or other action requested in said paper or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 02-4035:

[X] Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17.
[] The issue fee set in 37 CFR §1.18 at or before mailing the
Notice of Allowance, pursuant to 37 CFR §1.311(b).
[X] Any filing fees under 37 CFR §1.16 for presentation of
extra claims.
[X] If a paper is untimely filed in this or any CPA thereof by
Applicant(s), the Commissioner is hereby petitioned under 37
CFR §1.136(a) for the minimum extension of time required to make
said paper timely. In the event a petition for extension of
time is made under the provisions of this paragraph, the
Commissioner is hereby requested to charge any fee required
under 37 CFR §1.17 to Deposit Account 02-4035.

[X] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment
of fees accompanying this paper to Deposit Account No. 02-4035.

9. [X] The §120 reference on page 1 has been updated, and revised to
limit the claim of priority to February 28, 1992 while still
incorporating by reference the earlier applications.
Applicants reserve the right to assert an earlier priority if
found necessary to support the claims, or if the claims are
amended.

Respectfully submitted,
BROWDY AND NEWMARK, P.L.L.C.

By: 

Iver P. Cooper
Registration No. 28,005

624 Ninth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
IPC:lms

F:\P\prou\Lev1B\pto53bcont.wpd